From: Cermak, John F.

To: Foster, Anne

Cc: Axe, Al; Miller, Garyg; Inglin, Sonja A.; "Steve.Ginski@IPaper.com"

Subject: RE: San Jacinto Meeting 2/3

Date: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 10:42:27 AM

Attachments: removed.txt

Anne:

International Paper's technical representatives will obviously proceed with this meeting.

I did not take from our conversation that EPA did not want a phone link. Is this a change in EPA policy? Will this apply to future meetings with EPA? Also, will this apply to other parties with whom EPA has communications on this site?

We would appreciate a call from you after the meeting to get an update on the meeting.

Thank you.

John

From: Foster, Anne [mailto:Foster.Anne@epa.gov] Sent: Wednesday, February 03, 2016 7:55 AM

To: Cermak, John F.

Cc: Axe, Al; Miller, Garyg; Inglin, Sonja A.; 'Steve.Ginski@IPaper.com'

Subject: RE: San Jacinto Meeting 2/3

John,

As I said when we talked yesterday, we are not comfortable with having a phone link to today's meeting about the site. If your client needs to reschedule, please let me know.

Thanks,

Anne

From: Cermak, John F. [mailto:jcermak@bakerlaw.com]

Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2016 3:29 PM

To: Foster, Anne

Cc: Axe, Al; Miller, Garyg; Inglin, Sonja A.; 'Steve.Ginski@IPaper.com'

Subject: RE: San Jacinto Meeting 2/3

Anne:

Thank you for your E-mail. International Paper has no concern regarding your attendance of the meeting. Please confirm the call-in number for Steve Ginski to listen in on the meeting.



Thank you again for your help. We will be following up with you shortly on the expedited work and anticipate that this will be discussed tomorrow.

Regards.

John

From: Foster, Anne [mailto:Foster.Anne@epa.gov]
Sent: Tuesday, February 02, 2016 1:04 PM

To: Cermak, John F.; Inglin, Sonja A.

Cc: Axe, Al; Miller, Garyg

Subject: San Jacinto Meeting 2/3

John,

Thank you for talking with me this morning. Last week I told Sonja I intended to be present at tomorrow's meeting with IP representatives just to listen, but after you expressed concerns this morning, I said that I would check with Carl and perhaps not attend. However, after discussion with Carl this afternoon, I wanted to let you know that I do plan to attend tomorrow's meeting.

I also spoke with you about the possibility of IP and MIMC doing some expedited work to keep barges away from the cap. The Corps is apparently preparing recommendations on this issue, and so I'm not sure what the timing for additional measures will be. Any agreed-upon additional protective measures could be considered post-removal site controls, and so would be covered by the existing consent order (and not require a separate order).

Thanks again,





This email is intended only for the use of the party to which it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, or protected by law. If you are not the intended recipient you are hereby notified that any dissemination, copying or distribution of this email or its contents is strictly proh bited. If you have received this message in error, please notify us immediately by replying to the message and deleting it from your computer.

Any tax advice in this email is for information purposes only. The content of this email is limited to the matters specifically addressed herein and may not contain a full description of all relevant facts or a complete analysis of all relevant issues or authorities.

Internet communications are not assured to be secure or clear of

inaccuracies as information could be intercepted, corrupted, lost, destroyed, arrive late or incomplete, or contain viruses. Therefore, we do not accept responsibility for any errors or omissions that are present in this email, or any attachment, that have arisen as a result of e-mail transmission.